



ADDENDUM NO. 2

Date: November 4, 2024

Issued by: Sacramento City Unified School District

Project: Project #: 479
479 Bowling Green Elementary School Campus Renewal

This addenda shall supersede the original Information, attachments, and specifications regarding Project No. 479 where it adds to, deletes from, clarifies

Project No: 479
Bowling Green Elementary School Campus Renewal
ADDENDUM NO. 2

<p>Respondent was not a “responsible” bidder or proposer. (Less 5 points per incident, unless based solely on lack of experience)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">h. Any finding by a court or arbitrator in the past ten (10) years that the Respondent was liable for making any false or fraudulent claim or material misrepresentation to a public agency or entity. (Less 10 points if in the last 5 years; less 5 points if over 5 years ago)i. Withdrawal of a bid or proposal for mistake in the past ten (10) years. (Less 1 point per incident)j. Withdrawal of a bid or proposal after a contract award to the Respondent in the past ten (10) years. (Less 3 points per incident)k. Any claims in excess of \$250,000 made in the past five (5) years which were either a) asserted by the Respondent against a project owner in arbitration or litigation and compromised for 40% or less than the amount asserted or b) asserted against the Respondent by a project owner in arbitration or litigation and compromised for 60% or more than the amount asserted. (Less 1-5 points per incident depending on amount of the claim and portion of the claim agreed to in the settlement.)	
--	--

7. Describe the Respondent’s safety record and safety program for local office, including at least

- a. The Respondent’s worker safety program and how construction safety would be managed for the project. (4 points)
- b. Any CAL OSHA or Federal OSHA finding against the Respondent for any “serious,” “willful” or “repeat” violations of its safety or health

Project No: 479
Bowling Green Elementary School Campus Renewal
ADDENDUM NO. 2

Project No: 479
Bowling Green Elementary School Campus Renewal
ADDENDUM NO. 2

Project

Project No: 479
Bowling Green Elementary School Campus Renewal
ADDENDUM NO. 2

QUESTION #5: Please confirm and elaborate on extent of relocation of modular buildings in scope of work for project.

RESPONSE: Modular scope will be limited to relocation of two (2) existing buildings from another SCUSD site to Bowling Green Chacon.

QUESTION #6: Section 8.a) asks for key personnel experience as it relates to prefabrication and/or modular construction experience. Does this apply? Should language be revised?

RESPONSE: Refer to AD 2.01 regarding revised proposal criteria language.

QUESTION #7: Section 8.b) asks for key personnel experience as it relates to CTE programs. Does this project have CTE programs? Should this language be revised?

RESPONSE: Refer to AD 2.01 regarding revised proposal criteria language.

QUESTION #8: Section 8.e asks for personnel to include Design-Assist Lead. Is there any design-assist required on this project?

RESPONSE: Yes

QUESTION #9: Section 8.c states (10 points). Is there supposed to be a position listed herein?

RESPONSE: Refer to AD 2.01 regarding revised proposal criteria language. Formatting error. The 10 points correspond with item 8b.

QUESTION #10: Section 9a – Is prefabrication and/or modular construction relative to this project? Should it be removed or modified to just relocating portables?

RESPONSE: Refer to AD 2.01 regarding revised proposal criteria language.

Project No: 479
Bowling Green Elementary School Campus Renewal
ADDENDUM NO. 2

QUESTION #11: Section 10 asks for experience, approach and methods for carrying out pre-con including participation of the prefabrication and/or modular building supplier. Since this project is just relocating modular, should the before mentioned verbiage related to prefabrication and/or modular building supplier be removed?

RESPONSE: Refer to AD 2.01 regarding revised proposal criteria language.

QUESTION #12: Section 10c – Should BIM modeling approach language be removed? Is this project intended to be BIM?

RESPONSE: BIM Language will not be removed. The District intends to have BIM incorporated into Preconstruction, as noted in Facilities Lease – Exhibit H – Preconstruction Services.

QUESTION #13: Section 11 – asks for how we will minimize risk of building fabrication delays. Is this related to modular? And should this be removed?

RESPONSE: Refer to AD 2.01 regarding revised proposal criteria language.

QUESTION #14: Fee Proposal – please confirm for the Exhibit D that you want Insurance Costs to include Builder's Risk costs and all costs associated with meeting Insurance Requirements on the project?

RESPONSE: Confirmed.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2

Acknowledgement of this Addendum will be required